



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,833	01/14/2004	Timothy Dale Van Tassel	03-1201-US	5505

7590 11/12/2008  
Michael A. Mochinski  
Attorney at Law  
Suite 514  
3300 Bass Lake Road  
Brooklyn Center, MN 55429

EXAMINER
----------

PAUL, DISLER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/12/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/757,833	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VAN TASSEL, TIMOTHY DALE	
	<b>Examiner</b> DISLER PAUL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DISLER PAUL. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Roger Belfay. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 30 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agree that claim 31 should have been objected since it depended on the previous objected claim 30 , but, the date for the applicant to respond continue to run from the mailling date of the last office action..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614
--	---